

Frozen In Time – Book Review Chapter 10 – Catastrophic Melting



By Greg Neyman

© Answers In Creation

First Published 7 September 2005

Answers In Creation Website

www.answersincreation.org/frozen_in_time_10.htm

Warmer Summers, Colder Winters (Page 95) How Fast Would the Ice Sheets Melt? (Page 97)

Not much of interest here, although it would sound impressive to a young earth creationist! One statement highlights the major problems of young earth creationism. To calculate the melting rate of the ice, he says he “made several reasonable assumptions.” Young earth creationists start with one huge assumption...that the earth is young...and then they try to bend and twist the data to match this assumption. Unfortunately, this is not how science works, and it is the main reason why their science fails to provide any believable answers. For more on what a true scientist is, see *Creation Scientist?* (www.answersincreation.org/scientist.htm).

In the end, it doesn't matter how many assumptions Oard makes...the theory is still unworkable.

Catastrophic Flooding (Page 101)

By its very nature, there would have been floods after many an ice age. This is not new, and has been accepted by uniformitarian geologists. It is interesting how Oard plays geologists against each other. At the bottom of page 101, he states, “Mounting evidence is convincing many mainstream scientists that the Ice Age was very different from uniformitarian expectations.” First, uniformitarianists accept multiple Ice Ages, and this is in no danger of being overturned. Second, this is nothing more than science in action. When new evidence is examined, new theories are postulated, and expectations change...but they are all still uniformitarianists. Oard gives the impression that mainstream scientists are slowly coming over to a young earth view because of the evidence...nothing could be further from the truth!

Young earth creationists are led to believe this on many fronts...mainstream science is confirming young earth creationism, but the uniformitarian scientists refuse to acknowledge it. YECs are quick to point to the demise of the Big Bang, evolution, and other theories. In reality, the evidence for the Big Bang and evolution are stronger than ever, and are becoming more so every year. YECs can point to minor problems in these theories, but nothing that is a “show-stopper.”

At the end of this chapter, Oard includes a four page discussion of Lake Missoula, which has no bearing upon proving his theory or a young earth. As he notes, geologists accept this lake and flood event.